



---

**MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIES, MINES AND ENERGY  
PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT UNIT (PMU)**

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT  
[Issued in terms of section 7(1)(i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]**

**PROJECT TITLE: SC/RP/15-15/25/26: PROCUREMENT CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR THE REVIEW OF INDUSTRIAL POLICY FOR THE REPUBLIC OF NAMIBIA  
AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

**REFERENCE NUMBER OF PROCUREMENT: SC/RP/15-15/25/26**

**Scope of Contract: PROCUREMENT CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR THE REVIEW OF INDUSTRIAL POLICY FOR THE REPUBLIC OF NAMIBIA AND ITS  
IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

**Procurement method used: Request for Proposal (RP)**

**Date of Bids Invitation: 13 March 2026**

**Closing date of bids submission: 27 March 2026**

**Number of bids received by closing date: Six (6)**

**Responsiveness of bids: One (1)**

**Summary of Bidders Responsiveness:**

<b>BIDDER'S NAME</b>	<b>PRICING AT BID OPENING</b>	<b>RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)</b>	<b>REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE</b>
1. Lavenham Investments CC	N/A	<b>NO</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Certified copy of identity document or passport not attached as required on page 54, criteria A.</li> <li>2. The lead did not attach a certified copy of a master's degree or higher in Economics qualifications as required on page 54, criteria B.</li> <li>3. Relevant tertiary qualifications are not attached as required on page 54, criteria I.</li> </ol>
2. Welwitchia Industrial Engineers	N/A	<b>NO</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The lead did not attach a certified copy of a master's degree or higher in Economics qualifications as required on page 54, criteria B (The lead attached a master's and a PhD in Business Administration)</li> <li>2. Out of 7 team members, 5 CV's of the team were not attached and only the qualifications of 4 out of 7 team members are attached as required on page 54, criteria I.</li> </ol>
3. Infor Hub Management Consultancy	N/A	<b>NO</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The lead did not attach a certified copy of a master's degree or higher in Economics qualifications as required on page 54, criteria B.</li> <li>2. An undertaking on the part of the Bidder that the salaries and wages payable to its personnel in respect of this proposal are compliant to the relevant laws, Remuneration Order, and Award, where applicable not attached as required on page 54, criteria G.</li> <li>3. No qualifications attached as requested on page 54, criteria I.</li> <li>4. Bid validity period of 180 days not indicated as requested on page 54, criteria J.</li> <li>5. Bid document not attached and initialled as requested on page 55, criteria K.</li> </ol>
4. HEI Investment (Pty) Ltd	N/A	<b>NO</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The lead did not attach a certified copy of a master's degree or higher in Economics qualifications as required on page 54, criteria B (The lead attached master's in philosophy in Development Finance)</li> <li>2. Out of 7 team members, 1 member did not attach their qualifications as requested on page 54, criteria I.</li> </ol>

5. Davanti Investment Group	N/A	<b>NO</b>	1. Out of 9 team members, 2 members did not attach their qualifications as requested on page 54, criterion I.
6. Enerlogic Energy Solutions CC	N\$	<b>YES</b>	N/A

**Price Comparison for Responsive bids:**

The Evaluation Committee has applied the following formula for the normalization of values of the technical criteria:

$$\frac{\text{The sum of scores of the technical criteria for each bid} \times 70}{\text{The highest score of the technical criteria}}$$

**The Bid Price Evaluation and Scoring**

The Evaluation Committee has reviewed and scored the Bid Price for the bids that passed the technical evaluation. The Evaluation Committee then added the technical score to the Bid Price score to determine the total bid score for these Bids. For the normalization of the cost value the following formula has been applied (Note: the lower the cost the higher the scores):

$$\frac{\text{The lowest cost proposal} \times 30}{\text{Bid cost proposal}}$$

The normalized (weighted) technical scores and the bid price are indicated in the table below.

The Evaluation Committee then added the normalized (weighted) technical score to the normalized (weighted) Bid Price score to determine the overall score for these Bids.

Although **Enerlogic Energy Solutions CC** is the sole bidder that proceeded to the technical evaluation phase, for formality, the overall score has been calculated as follows:

Component	Calculation	Score
Technical score	$95 \times 70 \div 95$	70
Financial score	$900,000 \times 30 \div 900,000$	30
<b>Total score</b>	<b>70 + 30</b>	<b>100</b>

**MARGIN OF PREFERENCE (MOP)**

N/A

**Best Evaluated Bid:**

The bids for BID No. **SC/RP/15-15/25/26**, PC identified and recommended the bid by **Enerlogic Energy Solutions CC** as the lowest evaluated and substantially responsive bid at a total amount of **N\$ 900 00.00 (Nine Hundred Thousand Namibian Dollars)** be awarded.

As indicated by the bidder in its financial proposal, its "**Financial Proposal Submission Form**" shall be bidding upon them, subject to **Modification resulting from Contract Negotiations**, until the expiration of the validity period of the proposal, being 180 (one hundred and eighty) days from submission date of 27 March 2026.